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What can we learn from successful GWP activities?
Common features

- **Open collaborations** of many GEO Members, POs, and others
- Work together collectively on **common projects**
- **Focus on defined users** and outputs
- **Connection to global policy** organizations & frameworks
- **Open, re-usable methods** and results that can be applied by GEO Members
- **Stable project coordination** that ensures stakeholder engagement
Weaknesses in the 2020-2022 GWP

- Too few activities have results ready for use
  - Outputs still in development; methods not shared or not readily available

- Many activities have stalled or are progressing slowly
  - Lack of funds a contributing factor, but also a question of leadership

- Little collaboration across activities
  - Even where activities are successful, most work independently and few synergies are being realized

- Some activities function more as communities of practice rather than real collaborations
  - Participants pursue independent agendas and come together to share results rather than work together on common projects

- Some activities are largely programmes of individual GEO Members or POs or are narrowly focused on a specific country/region
  - Represent a “rebranding” rather than an activity that is unique to GEO. Difficult to demonstrate added value from GEO.
Positioning the GWP for Success

Clarify the expectations for GWP activities – Response to MTE

- Rename Community Activities to **Pilot Initiatives** to indicate that this is the entry point to the GWP
- Communicate the expectation that they should transition to GEO Initiatives within a reasonable period of time.
- Not all GEO Initiatives are expected to become Flagships.

Secretariat will increase its targeted assistance to GWP activities

- Bring together clusters (nexuses) of activities to work together to find synergies and develop integrated solutions.
- Build engagement across the value chain – public and private – as well as links to potential sources of financing.
- Work to re-energize activities that are struggling, where possible.
- Help activities that do not currently meet the criteria to transition to the open collaboration model or to join other GWP activities.
Proposed Objectives for the 2023-2025 GWP Process

Greater collaboration and integration across GWP activities

Stronger emphasis on open knowledge

More specific identification of intended and actual users

Clearer definition of GWP categories

Simplification of the IP template
Changes to Criteria for Acceptance

General criteria
- Open to participation by all GEO Members, POs, and GEO Associates.
- Intent to develop open, reusable solutions for applying EO.
- Demonstrated need at regional or global scales.
- Abide by GEO Ethical Standards (sharing via open licence for all data, software, products and other outputs of GWP activities).

Pilot Initiatives
- Evidence of need; identification of target users

GEO Initiatives
- Plan for scaling; outputs distinct from other GWP activities

GEO Initiatives and Flagships
- EDI considerations
Changes to Implementation Plan Templates

Move toward an online IP tool
- Simplify version control and management of documents (for leads and the Secretariat)
- Facilitate cross-GWP analysis.
- Enable automatic population of new Initiative webpages on the GEO website
- Easier for activities to update.

Reduction in the length of the IPs
- Target length of 10 pages (down from 20), plus a 1-page summary.

Build on the Working Group mapping exercise
- Data from the mapping exercise to replace the former section of the template

Rewriting of the questions based on analysis of 2020-2022 IPs
- Removal of sections that weren’t useful; rewording to address areas commonly misunderstood.
- Pilot Initiative template follows more closely that for GEO Initiatives and Flagships – intended to signal key considerations but the assessment criteria are less stringent.
GWP 2023-2025 Development Process and Timeline

October 2021 to February 2022
- Call for new IPs
- Preparation of IPs

February to May 2022
- IP review

March / April 2022
- GEO Symposium

May to June 2022
- IP revisions

July 2022
- Consultation with GEO Principals
- Approval process

October 2021

PB-24 and GEO-18 Plenary

Key period for PB member involvement
Roles of PB Members

Review

- Review Flagship and Initiative IPs
- Volunteer for at least one Review Team
- Provide written comments on the IPs for review
- Participate in Review Team calls
- Volunteer as a Review Team lead

Participate

- Participate in the 2022 GEO Symposium
- Organizing / moderating sessions

Comment

- Provide feedback on Foundational Task IPs
### Roles of GEO Secretariat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Propose</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issue the call for IPs</td>
<td>Propose the Foundational Task Structure</td>
<td>Support the Review Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize the IP templates</td>
<td>• Organizing / moderating sessions</td>
<td>• Distribute documents for review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop the online IP form and database</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Engage external reviewers as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Organize Review Team calls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>