

Draft Report
38th Executive Committee Meeting
Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation, 8 November 2016

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Chair: Philemon Mjwara, Republic of South Africa

1 GENERAL BUSINESS

The Agenda was adopted without modification.

The Report of the 37th Committee was approved.

All Action Items from previous meetings were closed.

2 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE STRATEGIC OUTLOOK – 2017 AND BEYOND

The Committee welcomed the discussion paper introduced by the U.S. Co-Chair, and the draft Principles proposed by the GEO Secretariat. Committee Members committed to strengthening their efforts to ensure deliberations occur at a level commensurate with their role and responsibilities as the senior-most body charting the strategic direction for GEO, for the intercessional periods between Plenary Sessions.

To improve continuity from meeting-to-meeting, it was suggested that one GEO Co-Chair serve as lead Co-Chair for the duration of one year. The European Commission Co-Chair was proposed as the first year-long Chair for 2017.

3 PROGRAMME BOARD**3.1 Draft Programme Board Lessons Learned**

Mr Tim Haigh (2016 PB co-chair representing EEA) summarized lessons learned by noting:

- Opportunities for more direct communication between the Programme Board (PB) and Committee should be leveraged from the outset;
- Concern that expectations for prompt and coordinated PB response to ad-hoc requests affect expectations on PB in relation to other functions and duties; and
- A potential threat identified was as GEO products and outputs become more policy relevant they may overstate mandate and use in formal policy cycle decision-making processes with potential impact on GEO brand and stakeholder relations.

3.2 Supporting Sustainable Development Goals – an Analysis of the 2017-2019 Work Programme

Mr Stephen Briggs (2016 PB member representing CEOS) summarized the present and future work of the PB by noting:

- The results of the mapping exercise showed that 26 out of 31 Community Activities contribute to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs, eight identify specific targets); all 22 Initiatives contribute to SDGs (specific targets); and four out of four Flagships contribute to SDGs; and
- A longer term analysis would be the next step, employing more direct approach that addresses all targets and indicators systematically, to identify where Earth observations (EO) and geospatial data can contribute to achieving the SDGs.

The Committee appreciated the work of the Programme Board and stated that:

- Future analysis work needs to clarify actual contributions, latent links, and gaps;
- To increase GEO's global capability, additional time should be spent identifying globally applicable products;
- Members of the Committee must communicate GEO's contributions back to their governments; and
- The Committee plans to recommend to Plenary that the GEO Work Programme should be informed by major policy initiatives (e.g. the Sustainable Development Goals -SDGs).

3.3 Review of Applications for the GEO Programme Board and Recommendation to Plenary

The Executive Committee adopted the Secretariat recommendations for the 2017 Programme Board, noting that any gaps in expertise should be addressed through nominations during the yearly 1/3 turnover.

4 GEO ENGAGEMENT PRIORITIES FOR 2017-2019

Mr Jack Metthey (Director Climate Action and Resource Efficiency, DG Research and Innovation, European Commission) presented the five priorities developed by the GEO Engagement Strategy Subgroup for endorsement by the Committee. These priorities are intended to act as drivers for key engagement actions to be articulated in the 2017-2019 Multiannual Implementation Plan, while serving to demonstrate GEO is delivering on the Mexico Declaration commitments by 2019. The proposed priorities are:

1. 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development;
2. Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas Monitoring;
3. Disaster Risk Reduction;
4. Resilient cities and human settlements; and
5. Ecosystem accounting.

The Committee welcomed the Subgroup's recommendations on engagement priorities and endorsed the first three priorities (2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas Monitoring; and Disaster Risk Reduction).

5 GEO-XIII PLENARY AGENDA AND PANEL SESSIONS

The Secretariat Director, Ms Barbara Ryan, presented the draft agenda for GEO-XIII. She noted that the goal was to make Plenary more interactive, to not simply follow the same formats as before. She

observed that the intent of the Work Programme portion on the 2nd day was to provide Plenary with sufficient information to inform the following discussion on programmatic contributions and pledges.

The Committee endorsed the draft GEO-XIII Plenary agenda as responding to their input from the July (37th) Committee meeting, particularly in regards to Formal Statements and Panel Sessions.

The Committee stressed the importance of tangible actions and/or results emanating from each of the Panel discussions in the form of three key messages from each.

6 REPORT OF THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP

Presented by Mr John Matuszak (Budget Working Group [BWG] co-chair, USA), who noted that even counting all EU member states in the contribution by the EC, well under 50% of all GEO Members contribute to the GEO Trust Fund. He also commended the Secretariat for its judicious management of the Trust Fund, despite the late arrival and shortfalls in pledges compared with the budget approved by GEO-XII Plenary.

The Committee thanked the BWG for its report and diligent efforts, and acknowledged the Secretariat's careful stewardship of GEO's limited resources.

7 PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS AND OBSERVERS

Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan presented the applicants to become Participating Organizations in GEO. The Committee adopted the Secretariat recommendations and approved applications for the following three Organizations:

1. International Long Term Ecological Research Network (ILTER);
2. Logistic Management Institute (LMI); and
3. Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS).

Further discussion of the application from Earthmind will be deferred until its legal structure has been clarified.

8 SECRETARIAT OPERATIONS REPORT

Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan presented the report, and highlighted major activities since the last Executive Committee meeting including preparations for the GEO-XIII Plenary; support to the Programme Board; support and involvement in the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data (GPSDD) and AfriGEOSS; advancing GCI development with additional data providers and feedback on the redesigned GEOSS Portal with ESA and CNR; development and implementation of a new Standing Agreement with WMO; and recruitment of the new Senior External Relations Manager which was filled by Mr Steven Ramage on 1 November 2016.

The Committee noted the report and expressed its appreciation for the continuing efforts of the Secretariat in broad engagement of the GEO community and GEOSS Implementation specifically. The Committee requested the Secretariat to resubmit applications to become member of Partnership Advisory Committee (PAC) of the Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS), and for Observer Status to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

9 PROCESS FOR RECRUITMENT OF NEXT SECRETARIAT DIRECTOR

The Committee agreed on the process and nominal timetable for the selection of the next Director, as outlined in the document.

10 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Dates of future Executive Committee Meetings were agreed as follows:

- 9-10 March 2017 (39th Session);
- 11-12 July 2017 (40th Session);
- 24 October 2017 (41st Session).

Draft Report
38th Executive Committee Meeting
Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation, 8 November 2016

FULL REPORT

Tuesday 8 November 2016

Meeting convened at 09:00

Chair: Philemon Mjwara, Republic of South Africa

1 GENERAL BUSINESS

Mr Philemon Mjwara, South Africa Co-Chair and Chair for the meeting, welcomed participants and expressed sincere gratitude to the Russian Federation for hosting the meeting along with the GEO-XIII Plenary. He noted this Plenary marks a new era in implementing GEOSS through GEO, and that the Plenary agenda was indicative of the change in approach by being both flexible and allowing unique opportunities for Members to engage more fully in discussions.

Mr Robert-Jan Smits, European Commission Co-Chair, indicated he was pleased to see that a lot had been accomplished since July, including progress on the engagement strategy and engagement with the commercial sector. He remarked that it was now really important to move GEO into maturity while showing impact from our efforts and investments. This includes concrete results and its ability to ensure data are used for the benefit of both the commercial sector and citizens. He commented that the Plenary will be a good occasion to show how GEO is making progress on the Strategic Plan (SP) and its overall governance structure. He welcomed the inclusion of a point on today's agenda for ExCom to discuss its own strategy and what it wanted to achieve in 2017.

Mr Pengde Li, on behalf of China Co-Chair Hejun Yin, extended his welcome to all participants, and observed that he was happy to be part of the GEO family, which recently included serving as co-chair of the Programme Board (PB) over the past year. He was pleased to see all the great efforts and evolution of the PB, noting that all had worked hard to communicate ideas and its results – for their work, he thanked all who contributed to the PB.

Mr Stephen Volz, on behalf of the U.S. Co-Chair, expressed his pleasure at being part of the Committee, and informed the Committee that Ms Katherine Sullivan sent her best regards and sincere regrets that she could not attend this particular session. He noted that the meetings this week represented an important transition period for GEO, and looked forward to interactive and productive sessions of both the Executive Committee and Plenary, especially in support of a successful Work Programme (WP) going forward into future.

Round-table introductions were made by all Executive Committee attendees and GEO Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan introduced the newest member of the Secretariat staff: Mr Steven Ramage, Senior External Relations Manager.

1.1 Adoption of Agenda ([ExCom Document 1](#)) (for decision)

Outcome: The Agenda was adopted.

1.2 Report of the 37th Executive Committee Meeting ([ExCom Document 2](#)) (for decision)

Outcome: The Report of the 37th Executive Committee Meeting held in Geneva, Switzerland, 6-7 July 2016 was approved.

1.3 Review of Actions from Previous Meetings ([ExCom Document 3](#)) (for decision)

The Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan noted that all action items had either been completed or could be accounted for in the documentation of the current meeting; she suggested a final check at the end of the meeting to ensure the action items had indeed been closed to everyone's satisfaction.

Outcome: At the close of the meeting, the Chair concluded all actions had, indeed, been completed.

2 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE STRATEGIC OUTLOOK – 2017 AND BEYOND ([EXCOM DOCUMENT 4](#)) (FOR DISCUSSION)

The Committee welcomed the discussion paper introduced by the U.S. Co-Chair, and the draft Principles proposed by the GEO Secretariat. Committee Members committed to strengthening their efforts to ensure deliberations occur at a level commensurate with their role and responsibilities as the senior-most body charting the strategic direction for GEO, for the intercessional periods between Plenary Sessions.

The Chair highlighted that: 1) the role of the Committee is to ensure that decisions of Plenary are carried out; 2) GEO Flagships in particular could be the vehicle for delivering the greatest impacts GEO is seeking to have; and 3) Key indicators are needed to measure progress. There was general consensus among members that Committee must engage at the appropriately strategic level and not be consumed by trivial matters. Members shared a sense that the Committee's roles and responsibilities should be better understood, particularly vis-à-vis the Programme Board (PB) and Secretariat. As examples, CEOS (PB observer) commented that it was sometimes not clear how much authority the Secretariat was allowed to exercise, while EEA (PB co-chair) observed that that PB had a long list of items to accomplish and it was difficult to ascertain how much effort to put into providing programmatic guidance versus expert technical opinion to support the Committee. Finland suggested that Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be developed for GEO; Australia agreed, noting that any KPIs developed should be a function of an agreed-upon strategic focus. The Chair requested clarification as to whether the KPIs would be measuring GEO and GEOSS implementation, or the relationships between the Executive Committee and the Secretariat, or even the Committee itself. Finland responded that the Strategic Plan Reference Document already contained performance indicators related to the Core Functions, which is a place to start with respect to measuring progress towards GEOSS implementation, and these should be tracked by the Committee. However, Finland suggested KPIs for the Committee itself, ones that would be open for inspection on the GEO web-page, and offered to help develop them. The Chair then suggested that KPIs could be developed for the PB as well, to which EEA responded it might be premature at this juncture since the 2017 PB had not yet been formed. However, this could be followed up later in 2017, once the 2017 PB was underway. The US suggested that some kind of synthesis of the current performance indicators contained in the Strategic Plan could serve as a basis for KPIs for the Committee.

The Chair summarized the discussion by noting a general consensus was reached that the Committee needed to take stronger role in providing strategic guidance, and an appropriate role for the Committee would be to engage in the evaluation/assessment of the status of KPIs – to determine whether GEO is making the intended impact, rather than in the routine monitoring thereof. A distinction was made that the KPIs ought to be related to the Strategic Objectives of GEO and not to the individual tasks and initiatives of its Work Programme (which will rightly be a source of data for the organizational KPIs). To proceed, the Secretariat is requested to conduct a first review of the Strategic Plan Reference Document performance indicators and submit an analysis recommending a [sub]set to the Committee

in time for it to discuss this issue substantively at the March meeting. Longer term, the PB will be requested to translate the KPIs into Key Performance Areas (KPIAs), for the period 2017-2019. The KPIAs will be adapted from the Strategic Plan so that there is causality between activities and milestones, in order to provide guidance on which items the Committee should track.

To improve continuity from meeting-to-meeting, it was suggested that one GEO Co-Chair serve as lead Co-Chair for the duration of one year. A check with the GEO Rules of Procedure confirmed that the Executive Committee had the authority to designate a lead Co-Chair without requesting approval from Plenary. The European Commission Co-Chair was proposed as the first year-long Chair for 2017.

The Committee also agreed that an Executive Session held in confidence should be a routine procedure during Committee meetings.

Action 38.1: Secretariat to prepare a document from the Executive Committee, the Programme Board (PB) and the Secretariat synthesizing respective roles and responsibilities based on discussions occurring during the 38th Executive Committee meeting, taking into account existing Terms of Reference and earlier Survey work. Due: 39th Executive Committee meeting.

Action 38.2: Clarify procedure to install a lead Co-Chair for 1 year. Due: prior to close of 38th Executive Committee meeting. [Completed].

Action 38.3: Secretariat to develop set of Secretariat, Programme Board, and Executive Committee Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for Executive Committee to review, based on the current Strategic Plan (SP) Performance Indicators. Due: 39th Executive Committee meeting

3 PROGRAMME BOARD

3.1 Draft Programme Board Lessons Learnt ([ExCom Document 5](#)) (for information)

Presented by Mr Tim Haigh, 2016 PB co-chair (representing EEA).

In his presentation, Mr Haigh noted that the document was intended to be primarily a working document for the PB, representing an unconsolidated inventory of lessons, and that consensus had not yet been reached on several points. He emphasized that it contained no recommendations as it was not intended to be a decision document. Three lessons the PB wished to share with the Executive Committee included:

1. Opportunity for more direct communication between PB and Executive Committee should be leveraged from outset: Suggested meeting during PB start-up phase;
2. Concern that expectations for prompt and coordinated PB response to ad-hoc requests affect expectations on PB in relation to other functions and duties. PB would like to maintain its focus on priorities and timelines; and
3. Threat that as GEO products and outputs become more policy relevant they may overstate mandate and use in formal policy cycle decision-making processes with potential impact on GEO brand and stakeholder relations.

The Executive Committee took note of the lessons learnt from the 2016 Programme Board, and expressed their appreciation for the Board's work. In particular, the Executive Committee:

- Encouraged that the lessons be shared with PB2; and
- Encouraged Members and POs to give their full support to representatives for participation, noting the importance of the new PB.

3.2 Supporting Sustainable Development Goals – an Analysis of the 2017-2019 Work Programme ([ExCom Document 6](#)) (for information)

Presented by Mr Stephen Briggs, 2016 PB member (representing CEOS).

In his presentation, Mr Briggs summarized the present and future work of the PB by noting:

I. The short term analysis based on existing Community Activities, Initiatives and Flagships, identifying where and how each activity may contribute to the overall SDGs (completed). The results of the mapping exercise showed that 26 out of 31 Community Activities contribute to the SDGs (eight identify specific targets); all 22 Initiatives contribute to SDGs (specific targets); and four out of four Flagships contribute to SDGs;

II. A longer term analysis, employing more direct approach that addresses all targets and indicators systematically, to identify where Earth observations (EO) and geospatial data can contribute to achieving the SDGs. End result: action plan to identify/define complementary WP tasks in relation to SDGs. (2017-2018), and the necessary resources; and

III. The GEO Initiative EO in Service of the 2030 Agenda For Sustainable Development forms complementary tool in analysis as it explores methodologies and processes needed to support the SDGs within the UN system, and at the national level.

The Committee appreciated the work of the Programme Board and endorsed its proposed roadmap for continuing the assessment of GEO's support for major policy initiatives, including the SDGs. The Committee noted that:

- Future analysis work needs to clarify actual contributions, latent links, and gaps;
- To increase GEO's global capability, additional time should be spent identifying globally applicable products;
- Members of the Committee must communicate GEO's contributions back to their governments; and
- The Committee was to recommend to Plenary that the GEO Work Programme should be informed by major policy initiatives (e.g. the Sustainable Development Goals -SDGs).

3.3 Review of Applications for the GEO Programme Board and Recommendation to Plenary ([ExCom Document 7](#)) (for decision)

Outcome: The Executive Committee adopted the Secretariat recommendations for the 2017 Programme Board, noting that any gaps in expertise should be addressed through nominations during the yearly 1/3 turnover.

4 GEO ENGAGEMENT PRIORITIES FOR 2017-2019 ([EXCOM DOCUMENT 8](#)) (FOR DECISION)

Presented by Mr Jack Metthey, Director Climate Action and Resource Efficiency, DG Research and Innovation, European Commission.

Noting that “The mission of GEO can only be achieved through strong and coherent engagement with stakeholder communities”, Mr Metthey presented the five priorities developed by the GEO Engagement Strategy Subgroup for endorsement by the Executive Committee. These priorities are intended to act as drivers for key engagement actions to be articulated in the 2017-2019 Multiannual Implementation Plan, while serving to demonstrate GEO is delivering on the Mexico Declaration commitments by 2019. The proposed priorities are:

1. 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development;
2. Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Monitoring;
3. Disaster Risk Reduction;
4. Resilient cities and human settlements; and
5. Ecosystem accounting.

He explained the criteria used to identify the priorities were: political relevance building on well-established, recent or upcoming international agreements, as called for in the Mexico City Ministerial Declaration; strong and active communities and activities in GEO; and potential to secure resources.

The Committee generally welcomed the Subgroup's recommendations on engagement priorities. However, Australia expressed concern that that five priority areas may be too much to adequately consider at this stage. Although priority four may be important, it is not clear what policy mandate there is for resilient cities and human settlements that GEO could respond to. Regarding priority five, ecosystem accounting is very important in that it provides an opportunity to interact with statistical community; nevertheless that interaction is mainly methodological, so perhaps it is not suitable to become a key priority area for GEO just yet. Germany, Finland and France agreed, noting current resources were not sufficient to cover all five suggested priority areas. Germany also suggested that the WMO and GCOS among others should be consulted in relation to the Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas Monitoring priority. Colombia saw these priorities as a technical mechanism that can stimulate participation; however, it should be left up to Caucuses to decide what the priorities are for each. The WMO (PB observer) found that there was good alignment between the first three priority areas and UN and other global priorities. CEOS (PB observer) commented that the SDG analysis of the Work Programme just completed already indicated that the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development was already a priority for GEO, and items two and three were also being worked on by various groups; however, the final two priorities were of a different category and needed to be dealt with accordingly. China concurred, noting the strong link with SDGs among all the priorities.

Metthey responded that it was indeed clear that the first three priorities are relevant to GEO, and Climate Change – GHG monitoring will need to work closely with WMO, GCOS and others. Also regional difference will of course be involved – the key is to get as many people involved as possible. Finally, he agreed with comments that priorities four and five were different in nature from the first three. The fifth priority was in fact more about methodology; however proper methodology to assess cost-benefits is essential to foster investment in space.

Outcome: The Committee endorsed the first three priorities (2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development; Climate Change – Greenhouse Gas Monitoring; and Disaster Risk Reduction).

The Committee suggested that while priorities 4 (Resilient cities and human settlements) and 5 (Ecosystem accounting) were recognized as important, the level of GEO engagement is different from the first three, and therefore the more detailed implementation plan for these two priorities will come later.

GEO-XIII Plenary would be invited to provide feedback on the three priorities, as well as current efforts in these areas.

Action 38.4: Assuming Plenary endorsement, the Secretariat to provide a more detailed, technical implementation plan for priorities 1-3. Due: first draft at 39th Executive Committee meeting.

5 GEO-XIII PLENARY AGENDA AND PANEL SESSIONS

The Secretariat Director, Ms Barbara Ryan, presented the draft agenda for GEO-XIII. She noted that the goal was to make Plenary more interactive, and not to simply follow the same formats as before.

She observed that the intent of the Work Programme portion on the 2nd day was to provide Plenary with information, in order to inform the following discussion on programmatic contributions and pledges.

5.1 Draft Agenda ([Plenary Document GEO-XIII-1.3](#) – for decision)

Outcome: The Executive Committee endorsed the draft GEO-XIII Plenary agenda as responding to their input from the July (37th) Committee meeting, particularly in response to Formal Statements and Panel Sessions.

5.2 Panel Sessions Overview ([Plenary Documents GEO-XIII-2-Inf-04](#) and [GEO-XIII-3-Inf-01](#) - for information)

The Committee stressed the importance of tangible actions and/or results emanating from the Panels discussion in the form of three key messages from each.

Action 38.5: Secretariat to set up a Twitter account (#GEOweek16) to handle floor requests. The Secretariat to respond to any interventions not fielded by Panel moderators. Due: GEO-XIII.

6 REPORT OF THE BUDGET WORKING GROUP

6.1 Interim Report on Income and Expenditure 1 January - 30 September 2016 ([Plenary Document GEO-XIII-5 \(Rev1\)-Inf-01](#) – for information)

Presented by Mr John Matuszak, Budget Working Group (BWG) co-chair (USA), who noted that even counting all EU member states in the contribution by the EC, well under 50% of all GEO Members contribute to the GEO Trust Fund. He also commended the Secretariat for its judicious management of the Trust Fund, despite the late arrival and shortfalls in pledges compared with the budget approved by GEO-XII Plenary.

The Committee thanked the BWG for its report and diligent efforts and acknowledged the Secretariat's careful stewardship of GEO's limited resources.

6.2 Proposed 2017 Budget GEO Trust Fund ([Plenary Document GEO-XIII-5.4 \(Rev1\)](#) – for decision)

Outcome: The 2017 GEO Trust Fund Budget was endorsed.

The Executive Committee Members were invited to verbalize their pledges during GEO-XIII Plenary.

7 PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS AND OBSERVERS

7.1 Review of Applications for Participating Organizations and Observers ([ExCom Document 9](#)) (for decision)

Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan presented the four applicants to become Participating Organizations in GEO. There was some uncertainty regarding the legal structure of one applicant, Earthmind, which appeared to be registered in both France and Switzerland.

Outcome: The Executive Committee adopted the Secretariat recommendations and approved applications for the following three Organizations:

1. **International Long Term Ecological Research Network (ILTER);**
2. **Logistic Management Institute (LMI); and**
3. **Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS).**

Further discussion of the application from Earthmind will be deferred to the 39th Session of the Executive Committee.

Action 38.6: Secretariat to clarify Earthmind affiliation; application to be revisited at 39th Executive Committee Session.

8 SECRETARIAT OPERATIONS REPORT ([EXCOM DOCUMENT 10](#)) (FOR INFORMATION)

Secretariat Director Barbara Ryan presented the report, and highlighted major activities since the last Executive Committee meeting including preparations for the GEO-XIII Plenary; support to the Programme Board; support and involvement in the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data (GPSDD) and AfriGEOSS; advancing GCI development with additional data providers and feedback on the redesigned GEOSS Portal with ESA and CNR; development and implementation of a new Standing Agreement with WMO; and recruitment of the new Senior External Relations Manager which was filled by Steven Ramage on 1 November 2016.

The Executive Committee noted the report and expressed its appreciation for the continuing efforts of the Secretariat in broad engagement of the GEO community and GEOSS Implementation specifically.

Action 38.7: Secretariat to resubmit application to become member of Partnership Advisory Committee (PAC) of the Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS).

Action 38.8: Secretariat to resubmit application for Observer Status to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

9 PROCESS FOR RECRUITMENT OF NEXT SECRETARIAT DIRECTOR ([EXCOM DOCUMENT 11](#)) (FOR DISCUSSION)

The Executive Committee agreed on the process and nominal timetable for the selection of the next Director, as outlined in the document.

10 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

10.1 Dates of Future Executive Committee Meetings

Dates of future Executive Committee Meetings were agreed as follows:

- 9-10 March 2017 (39th Session);
- 11-12 July 2017 (40th Session);
- 24 October 2017 (41st Session).

Meeting adjourned at 18:00

**38th GEO Executive Committee
List of Participants**

China	
Dr Li Pengde Deputy Director General National Administration of Surveying Mapping and Geoinformation (NASG) China No.18, Baishengcun, Haidian District, Beijing,10048, P.R. China	lipd@sbsm.gov.cn
Dr Li Jiahong Deputy Director-General National Remote Sensing Center of China Ministry of Science and Technology Building No. 8A, Liulinguan Nanli Haidian District Beijing 100036 China	Phone: +86 10 58 88 11 57 Fax: lijiahong@nrsc.gov.cn
Dr Gu Xingfa Deputy Director Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Earth Chinese Academz of Sciences (CAS) P.O.Box 9718, No.20 Datun Road Olympic Science & Technology Park of CAS Beijing 100101 China	Phone: +86 10 58 88 11 92 Fax: +86 10 58 88 11 84 guxingfa@radi.ac.cn
Dr Tang Xinming Deputy Director-General National Administration of Surveying Mapping and Geoinformation (NASG) No.18, Baishengcun, Haidian District, Beijing,10048 China	Phone: +86-10-68412138 Fax: tangxinming99@qq.com
Ms Jiang Xiaohong Deputy Director General Dept. of Science, Technology and International Cooperation National Administration of Surveying, Mapping and Geoinformation No. 28, Lianhuachixi Road, Haidian District 100830 Beijing China	Phone: +86 10 63882329 Fax: jiangxh@sbsm.gov.cn

<p>Dr Zhang Songmei Section Chief Division of Earth Observations Ministry of Science and Technology National Remote Sensing Center of China, Ministry of Science and Technology, P.R China. NO.8A, Liulinguan Nanli, Haidian District, Beijing, 100036 China</p>	<p>Phone: +86-10-58881176 Fax: songmei.zhang@nrsc.gov.cn</p>
<p>Ms Ye Fanghong Satellite Surveying and Mapping Application Center, National Administration of Surveying, Mapping and Geoinformation (SASMAC, NASG) No.18, Baishengcun, Haidian District, Beijing, 10048 China</p>	<p>Phone: +86-10-68412232 Fax: +86-10-68412232 yefanghong@nrsc.gov.cn yefh@sasmac.cn</p>
<p>European Commission</p>	
<p>Mr Robert-Jan Smits Director-General Directorate-General for Research and Innovation European Commission ORBN 03/136 1049 Brussels Belgium</p>	<p>Phone: + 32 229 63 296 robert-jan.smits@ec.europa.eu</p>
<p>Mr Jack Metthey Director DG Research & Innovation Directorate I Climate Action and Resource Efficiency European Commission CDMA 03/102 1049 Brussels Belgium</p>	<p>Phone: +32 229-68870 Fax: Jack.Metthey@ec.europa.eu</p>
<p>Mr Gilles Ollier Head of Sector Earth Observation European Commission DG Research & Innovation Unit I:4 Climate Action and Earth Observation Rue du Champ de Mars 21 CDMA 03/006 1049 Brussels Belgium</p>	<p>Phone: +32 2 29 56630 Fax: +32 2 29 50568 gilles.ollier@ec.europa.eu</p>
<p>Ms Jane Shiel Senior Policy Officer Earth Observation Sector Unit Climate Action and Earth Observation DG Research and Innovation</p>	<p>Phone: +32 2 296 2984 Fax: +32 2 29 50 568 jane.shiel@ec.europa.eu</p>

<p>European Commission CDMA 03/007 1049 Brussels Belgium</p>	
<p>South Africa</p>	
<p>Dr Philemon Mjwara Director General Department of Science and Technology Building 53 CSIR Meiring Naude Road Brummeria 0184 South Africa</p>	<p>Phone: +27 12 843 6815 Fax: +27 866 81 0006 phil.mjwara@dst.gov.za</p>
<p>Ms Lerato Senoko Director Earth Observation Space Science and Technology Department of Science and Technology Building 53 CSIR Scientia Campus Meiring Naude Road, Brumeria Pretoria 0184 South Africa</p>	<p>Phone: +27 12 843 68 67 Fax: +27 86 210 70 65 lerato.senoko@dst.gov.za</p>
<p>Mr Humbulani Mudau Chief Director Space Science Science and Technology Department of Science and Technology Private Bag X 894 0001 Pretoria South Africa</p>	<p>Phone: +27 12 843 68 57 Fax: +27 82 306 72 08 humbulani.mudau@dst.gov.za humbula05@gmail.com</p>
<p>Ms Tumisang Sebitloane Deputy Director: Earth Observations Department of Science and Technology Private Bag X 894 0001 Pretoria South Africa</p>	<p>Tel: +2712 843 6465 Fax: +2786 674 5757 Tumisang.Sebitloane@dst.gov.za</p>
<p>United States</p>	
<p>Stephen M. Volz Assistant Administrator for Satellite and Information Services National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 14th Constitution Ave, NW Room 51030/HCHB 20230 Washington, Dc United States</p>	<p>Phone: +1-202-482-6236 Mob.: +1-240-604-4377 Fax: +1-202-482-0503 kathryn.sullivan@noaa.gov</p>

<p>Ms Yana Gevorgyan Senior International Relations Specialist NOAA Satellite and Information Service Department of Commerce The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 1335 East-West Highway Room 7317 20910 3226 Silver Spring United States</p>	<p>Phone: +1 301 713 70 54 yana.gevorgyan@noaa.gov</p>
<p>Mr John Matuszak Senior Policy Advisor OES/EQT U.S. Department of State USA</p>	<p>Phone: +1 202 647 9278 matuszakjm@state.gov</p>
<p>Armenia</p>	
<p>Director of CENS NAS RA National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia (NAS RA) Center for Ecological-Noosphere Studies NAS RA (CENS) 68 Abovyan str. 0025 Yerevan Armenia</p>	<p>Phone: +374 105 729 24 Fax: +374 105 729 38 ecocentr@sci.am</p>
<p>Dr Shushanik Asmaryan PhD in Geography, Head GIS and Remote Sensing Department of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia 68 Abovyan str. 0025 Yerevan Armenia</p>	<p>Phone: +374 105 729 24 / +374 105 738 21 Fax: +374 105 729 38 shushanik.asmaryan@cens.am</p>
<p>Australia</p>	
<p>Dr Stuart Anthony Minchin Chief of Division Environmental Geosciences Geoscience Australia GPO Box 378 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia</p>	<p>Phone: + 61-2-62499898 Mob.: + 61-428602791 Fax: + 61 2 62499964 stuart.minchin@ga.gov.au sminchin@gmail.com</p>
<p>Ms Elizabeth McDonald ICT Strategy and eResearch Office of the Chief Information Officer Information Systems and Services Division Bureau of Meteorology GPO Box 2334 2601 Canberra Australia</p>	<p>Phone : +61 2 6232 3522 Fax : elizabeth.mcdonald@bom.gov.au</p>

Colombia	
Mr Omar Franco Torres Agronomist / Director General Directorate Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM) Calle 25D # 96B-70, 3d Floor 11001 Bogota D.C. Colombia	Phone : +57 (1) 3527160 Phone : +57 (1) 3527160 Fax : +57 1 352 71 10 ofranco@ideam.gov.co direccion@ideam.gov.co
Ms Diana Maria Quimbay Valencia International Cooperation Adviser IDEAM Calle 25D No. 96 B-70 Piso 3 Bogotá D.C. Colombia	Phone: +57(1) 3527160 Ext. 1124 dquimbay@ideam.gov.co
Egypt (did not attend)	
Finland	
Mr Mikko Strahlendorff Space Adviser Development Unit Administration Department Finnish Meteorological Institute Erik Palménin aukio 1 FI-00560 Helsinki Finland	Phone: +358 50 359 3795 Mob.: +358 50 359 3795 mikko.strahlendorff@fmi.fi
Ms Heli Ursin Head of International Affairs Central Administration National Land Survey Opastinsilta 12 C Helsinki 00520 Finland	Phone : +35 840 5237432 Fax : heli.ursin@nls.fi
France	
Mr Dominique Marbouty Copernicus and GEO Interministerial Coordinator Direction Générale pour la recherche et l'Innovation (DGRI) Ministère de l'Education nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (MESR) DGRI 1, rue Descartes 75231 Paris Cedex 05 France	Phone: +33 1 55 55 96 12 Mob.: +33 6 71 86 02 17 dominique.marbouty@recherche.gouv.fr

Germany	
<p>Dr Paul Becker Vice President Deutscher Wetterdienst Frankfurter Strasse 135 63067 Offenbach Germany</p>	<p>T: +49 69 8062 2972 M: +49 172 4549775 M: +49 (173) 3403443 F: +49 69 8062 3971 Paul.Becker@dwd.de</p>
<p>Dr Helmut Staudenrausch Earth Observation / National GEO (D-GEO) Secretariat Space Administration German Aerospace Center (DLR) Königswinterer Strasse 522-524 53227 Bonn Germany</p>	<p>Phone: +49 228 447 594 Mob: +49 172 297 1590 Fax: +49 228 447 792 helmut.staudenrausch@dlr.de</p>
Japan	
<p>Mr Shinichi Higuchi Director for Environmental Science and Technology Environment and Energy Division Research and Development Bureau Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) 3-2-2, Kasumigaseki Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-8959 Japan</p>	<p>Phone: +81 3 6734 4143 Fax: +81 3 6734 4162 shiguchi@mext.go.jp</p>
<p>Prof. Hiroyuki Muraoka Senior Professor River Basin Research Center Gifu University 1-1Yanagido Gifu Japan</p>	<p>Phone: +81-(0)58 293 2064 Fax: muraoka@green.gifu-u.ac.jp</p>
<p>Ms Mariko Harada Administrative Researcher Environment and Energy Division Research and Development Bureau Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Sciences and Technology (MEXT) 3-2-2 Kasumigaseki Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-8959 Japan</p>	<p>Phone: +81 3 6734 4159 Fax: +81 3 6734 4162 harada-m@mext.go.jp</p>
Korea, Republic of	
<p>Dr Kwon O-Ung Director Climate Policy Division</p>	<p>Phone : Fax : oungk@korea.kr</p>

Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) Dongjak-gu 07062 Seoul Republic of Korea	
Mr Jeong Soyun Assistant Director Climate Policy Division Climate Science Bureau Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) Dongjak-gu 07062 Seoul Republic of Korea	Phone : +82 2 2181 0396 Fax : plzmic@korea.kr
Dr Kim Hyun Ok Senior Researcher National Satellite Information Application Support Center Korea Aerospace Research Institute 169-84 Gwahak-ro, Yuseong-gu Daejeon Republic of Korea	Phone : +82 2 2181 0396 Fax : hokim@kari.re.kr
Mexico	
Mr Rolando Ocampo Actuary Governing Board National Institute of Statistics and Geography Avenida Patriotismo 711, torre A, PH Colonia San Juan Mixcoac 03730 Mexico D.F. Mexico	Phone: +52 55 52 78 10 00 Fax: rolando.ocampo@ineqi.org.mx
Russian Federation	
Mr Vasily Valentinovich Asmus Director State Research Center for Space Hydrometeorology PLANETA Roshydromet 7 Bol. Predtechensky per. Moscow 123242 Russian Federation	Phone: +7 (499) 2523717 asmus@planet.iitp.ru
Mr Valery Aleksandrovich Zaichko Head Adviser Russian Federal Space Agency (Roscosmos) 42 Shepkina St. 107996 Moscow Russian Federation	Tel: +7 495 631 81 34 Fax: +7 495 631 92 13 zval@mail.ru opoi@roscosmos.ru gtd@ntsomz.ru
Senegal (did not attend)	

Observers	
CEOS	
Prof. Stephen Briggs Senior Advisor European Space Agency ESA/ELSAT Oxford – Harwell DIDCOT OX11 OFD UK	Phone: +44 1 235 44 42 69 stephen.briggs@esa.int
Mr Ross Jonathon CEOS Executive Officer Geoscience Australia (GA) Cnr Jerrabomberra Avenue and Hindmarsh Drive Symonston GPO Box 378 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia	Phone: Fax: Jonathon.Ross@ga.gov.au
GOOS	
Dr Albert S. Fischer Director GOOS Program Office Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) of UNESCO 7 place de Fontenoy 75007 Paris France	Phone: +33 1 45 68 40 40 Fax: +33 1 45 68 58 10 a.fischer@unesco.org
WMO	
Mr Wenjian Zhang Assistant Secretary-General World Meteorological Organization (WMO) 7 bis, avenue de la Paix 1211 Geneve 2 Switzerland	Tel: +41 22 730 8400 Fax: +41 22 730 8021 wzhang@wmo.int
Mr Lars-Peter Riishojgaard Observing and Information Systems Department World Meteorological Organization (WMO) 7 bis Ave de la Paix 1211 Geneva 2 Switzerland	Phone: +41 22 730 8193 lriishojgaard@wmo.int
GEO Secretariat	
7 bis, avenue de la Paix Case postale 2300 CH-1211 Geneva 2 Switzerland	Phone : +41 22 730 8505 Fax : +41 22 730 8520 secretariat@geosec.org